

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/924,641	08/08/2001	Henry Otto Hermann JR.	17231A	7463	
75	590 07/03/2002				
Tyco Electronics Corporation			EXAMINER		
307 Constitutio	perty Law Dept. on Drive, MS R20/1B		NGUYEN,	TRUC T	
Menlo Park, CA	A 94025-1164		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2833	2833	
			DATE MAILED: 07/03/2002	DATE MAILED: 07/03/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ale	
t(s)	
IN ET AL.	
dence address	
idered timely. ate of this communication. § 133). any	
n as to the merits is 213.	
R 1.85(a).	
ne Examiner.	
f).	
National Stage	

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	•	09/924,641	HERMANN ET AL.				
e.	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Truc T. T. Nguyen	2833				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status		April 2002					
1) 🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 A	is action is non-final.					
2a)☐ —	The determinant		prosecution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
, —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
· ·							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
			cation No				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) 🔲 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
L							

Application/Control Number: 09/924,641

Art Unit: 2833

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bellamy et al (US 4,747,783) in view of Cowie et al (US 5,236,789).

Bellamy et al disclose a connector assembly comprising:

a first electrical contact (11);

a second or mating contact (19);

the first electrical contact having a conductive portion (11) and a resistive portion (13), the resistive portion exposed for direct engagement with the second contact.

Bellamy et al substantially disclose the claimed invention except the resistive portion is in direct contact with the conductive portion.

Cowie et al teach a contact (16) comprising two different materials (18, 20) in direct contact with each other to provide a good electrical conduction.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a resistive material in direct contact with a conductive material onto the contact of Bellamy et al, as taught by Cowie et al to provide a good electrical conduction.

Application/Control Number: 09/924,641

Art Unit: 2833

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

This is a NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Truc T. T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-306-4004. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Bradley can be reached on 703-308-2319. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

T. Nguyen June 29, 2002

P. AUSTIN BRADLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800